

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC,	)	Case No. 5:13-cv-00825-PSG
v.	)	<b>OMNIBUS ORDER RE: MOTIONS</b>
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	)	<b>TO SEAL</b>
Defendant.	)	<b>(Re: Docket Nos. 145, 149, 151, 153, 161)</b>

---

Before the court are five administrative motions to seal 44 documents. “Historically, courts have recognized a ‘general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents.’”<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, “a ‘strong presumption in favor of access’ is the starting point.”<sup>2</sup> Parties seeking to seal judicial records relating to dispositive motions bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with “compelling reasons” that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n. 7 (1978)).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 1178-79.

However, “while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm their competitive interest.”<sup>4</sup> Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject to the strong presumption of access.<sup>5</sup> Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions “are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action,” parties moving to seal must meet the lower “good cause” standard of Rule 26(c).<sup>6</sup> As with dispositive motions, the standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a “particularized showing”<sup>7</sup> that “specific prejudice or harm will result” if the information is disclosed.<sup>8</sup> “Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning” will not suffice.<sup>9</sup> A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed,<sup>10</sup> but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular document should remain sealed.<sup>11</sup>

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document

---

<sup>4</sup> *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*, 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

<sup>5</sup> *See id.* at 1180.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

<sup>9</sup> *Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

<sup>10</sup> *See Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

<sup>11</sup> *See* Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) (“Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.”).

is “sealable,” or “privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.” “The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d).”<sup>12</sup> “Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable.”<sup>13</sup>

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motion as follows:

<u>Motion to Seal</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reason/Explanation</u>
145	Opposition to Defendant’s Daubert Motion to Exclude Testimony of Sentius’ Survey Expert, Dr. William Wecker	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 7:10-11: “would have...revenue” SEALED</li> <li>• All other designations UNSEALED</li> </ul>	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business operations.
145	Exhibit C (Docket No. 145-8)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
145	Exhibit D (Docket No. 145-9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ¶ 146-147 SEALED including:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Chart on page 88 SEALED</li> <li>▪ Footnotes SEALED</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Pages 90-103 UNSEALED</li> <li>• ¶ 176 – end of page 108 SEALED except:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ ¶ 176 “The income... combination thereof.” UNSEALED</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
145	Exhibit M (Docket No. 145-10)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by

<sup>12</sup> Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a “proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material” which “lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed,” Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an “unredacted version of the document” that indicates “by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version.” Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

<sup>13</sup> Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1). The Civil Local Rules have recently been amended shortening the time available to the designating party to file a supporting declaration from seven days to four days. As this rule change was only recently implemented the court applies the prior form of Civ. L.R. 79-5 for the purposes of this order.

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

			Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
1	149	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Phase Trial	UNSEALED
2	149	Exhibit A (Docket No. 149-7)	UNSEALED
3	149	Exhibit B (Docket No. 149-8)	SEALED
4	151	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement	UNSEALED
5	151	Exhibit 1 (Docket No. 151-7)	UNSEALED
6	151	Exhibit 2 (Docket No. 151-9)	UNSEALED
7	151	Exhibit 3 (Docket No. 151-11)	UNSEALED
8	151	Exhibit 4 (Docket No. 151-13)	UNSEALED
9	151	Exhibit 5 (Docket No. 151-15)	UNSEALED
10	151	Exhibit 6 (Docket No. 151-17)	UNSEALED
11			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
12			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
13			Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
14			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
15			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
16			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
17			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
18			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
19			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
20			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
21			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
22			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
23			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
24			No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
25			Declaration as filed insufficient to support sealing under Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A).
26			
27			
28			

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1	151	Exhibit 8 (Docket No. 151-20)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
2	151	Exhibit 9 (Docket No. 151-22)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
3	151	Exhibit 10 (Docket No. 151-24)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
4	151	Exhibit 11 (Docket No. 151-26)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
5	151	Exhibit 12 (Docket No. 151-28)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
6	151	Exhibit 13 (Docket No. 151-30)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
7	151	Exhibit 14 (Docket No. 151-32)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
8	151	Exhibit 15 (Docket No. 151-34)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
9	151	Exhibit 16 (Docket No. 151-36)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
10	151	Exhibit 17 (Docket No. 151-38)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
11	151	Exhibit 61 (Docket No. 151-83)	UNSEALED	Declaration as filed insufficient to support sealing under
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1			Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A).
2	151	Exhibit 62 (Docket No. 151-85)	UNSEALED  Declaration as filed insufficient to support sealing under Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A).
3	151	Exhibit 66 (Docket No. 151-90)	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
4	151	Exhibit 67 (Docket No. 151-92)	UNSEALED  Declaration as filed insufficient to support sealing under Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A).
5	151	Exhibit 68 (Docket No. 151-94)	UNSEALED  Declaration as filed insufficient to support sealing under Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A).
6	151	Exhibit 69 (Docket No. 151-96)	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
7	151	Exhibit 70 (Docket No. 151-98)	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
8	151	Exhibit 71 (Docket No. 151-100)	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
9	151	Exhibit 72 (Docket No. 151-102)	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
10	151	Exhibit 73 (Docket No. 151-104)	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
11	151	Exhibit 74	UNSEALED  No declaration in support filed with the

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

	(Docket No. 151-106)		court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
151	Exhibit 75 (Docket No. 151-108)	UNSEALED	Declaration as filed insufficient to support sealing under Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A).
151	Declaration of Vijay Madisetti in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement	UNSEALED	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
151	Exhibit A (Docket Nos. 151-112 to 151-116)	UNSEALED	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
153	Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant's Daubert Motion to Exclude Testimony or Sentius' Damages Expert, Robert Mills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 3:22-4:1 SEALED</li> <li>• Page 6:13-28 (including footnotes 1-3) SEALED</li> <li>• Page 11:20-28 (footnote 7) SEALED</li> <li>• Page 4:23-24 SEALED</li> <li>• Page 5:2-3 "Dividing" until "After thoroughly" SEALED</li> <li>• Page 19:2 SEALED</li> <li>• All other designations UNSEALED</li> </ul>	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
153	Exhibit A (Docket No. 153-9)	UNSEALED	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
153	Exhibit B (Docket No. 153-10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pages 79:24-80:40 SEALED</li> <li>• Page 97:13-14 SEALED</li> <li>• Page 98:6-11 SEALED</li> <li>• All other designations UNSEALED</li> </ul>	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
153	Exhibit C (Docket No. 153-11)	UNSEALED	No declaration in support filed with the court as required by Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).
161	Defendant's Reply in Support of its Daubert Motion to Exclude Testimony of Sentius' Damages Expert, Robert	All designations highlighted in yellow SEALED	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

1	Mills		
2	161	Exhibit AN (Docket No. 161-6)	All designations highlighted in yellow SEALED

3  
4  
**SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: January 15, 2015  
7

8   
PAUL S. GREWAL  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28